## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/696,902	10/30/2003	Alberto Rodriguez	D/A3026	9247
	7590 07/13/200 UMENTATION CEN	EXAMINER		
XEROX CORP	ORATION AVE., SOUTH, XER	DHINGRA, PAWANDEEP		
ROCHESTER,		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2625	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/13/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/696,902		RODRIGUEZ ET AL.		
		Examiner		Art Unit		
		Pawandeep S. Dhingi		2625		
The MAILING DATE of this co		<u>-</u>		rrespondence address		
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PER WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM  - Extensions of time may be available under the pafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of If NO period for reply is specified above, the material part of the property within the set or extended period Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.	THE MAILING DAT rovisions of 37 CFR 1.136( his communication. ximum statutory period will for reply will, by statute, ca months after t he mailing da	E OF THIS COMM a). In no event, however, n apply and will expire SIX (6 tuse the application to beco	IUNICATION nay a reply be time i) MONTHS from the me AB ANDONED	bly filed ne mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status		•				
1) Responsive to communication	n(s) filed on <u>30 Oct</u> o	ober 2003.				
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the	practice under Ex	paπe Quayie, 1935	0 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s)  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objecte 8) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> are subject to results.	is/are withdrawn l. d. d to.	·	ì			
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected t	by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on						
Applicant may not request that a	•		•	• • •		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) in 11) The oath or declaration is objective.	_	•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)		o.□		DTO 440)		
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing R</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>		Pape	view Summary ( er No(s)/Mail Dat ce of Informal Pa r:	e		

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- Species of Fig. 4
- II. Species of Fig. 6
- III. Species of Fig. 7
- 2. The species are independent or distinct because claims to the different species recite the mutually exclusive characteristics of such species. In addition, these species are not obvious variants of each other based on the current record.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

There is all examination and search burden for these patentably distinct species due to their mutually exclusive characteristics. The species require a different field of search (e.g., searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries); and/or the prior art applicable to one species would not likely be applicable to another species; and/or the species are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species to he examined even though the requirement

may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

The election of the species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the election of species requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the species unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other species.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141.

Application/Control Number: 10/696,902

Art Unit: 2625

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Pawandeep S. Dhingra whose telephone number is

571-270-1231. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9:30-7:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Twyler Lamb can be reached on 571-272-7406. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Pd July 08, 2007 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 4